

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
ASHEVILLE DIVISION**

CRIMINAL NO. 1:03CR44

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
)
)
VS.) O R D E R
)
)
)
TODD WILSON SHORT)
)
)

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the parties' plea agreement, filed April 21, 2004.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that defense counsel review the attached waiver with the Defendant, obtain the Defendant's signature witnessed by defense counsel or notarized, and file the waiver with the Clerk no later than 24 hours prior to the sentencing hearing scheduled for Friday, June 17, 2005.

The Clerk of Court shall send a copy of this Order and attached waiver to the United States Attorney.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
ASHEVILLE DIVISION**

CRIMINAL NO. 1:03CR44

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
)	
VS.)	<u>W A I V E R</u>
)	
)	
TODD WILSON SHORT)	
)	

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the parties' plea agreement, filed April 21, 2004.

By separate Order, defense counsel has been ordered to review this waiver with the Defendant, obtain the Defendant's signature thereon and witness same or have the waiver notarized, and file the waiver with the Clerk no later than 24 hours prior to the sentencing hearing scheduled for Friday, June 17, 2005.

Prior to January 12, 2005, the Court was required under federal law to consider and follow the applicable Sentencing Guidelines in sentencing federal defendants and the Court could depart from those Guidelines only in specific circumstances. Since that time, the United States Supreme Court has determined in the case of *United States v. Booker*, 125 S. Ct. 738 (Jan. 12, 2005), that the Court is not bound by the Sentencing Guidelines but nevertheless must consult the Guidelines and take them into account when sentencing.

The Defendant has previously entered into a written plea agreement with the Government. At the time the Defendant entered into that plea agreement, the Court was required by law to sentence the Defendant in accordance with the Sentencing Guidelines. However, as a

result of the *Booker* decision, the Defendant may elect to be sentenced by the Court using its discretion and referring to the Guidelines as advisory. If appealed, the sentence would be reviewed by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals for reasonableness. If the Defendant makes this election, the sentence the Court imposes will be in the Court's sound discretion and could be greater or less than the sentence that would have been imposed if the Sentencing Guidelines were mandatory. Either way, the Court will follow the procedural components of the Guidelines system, which means that the Probation Office has prepared a presentence report which contains Guidelines calculations and both the Defendant and the Government have been given an opportunity to object to any alleged deficiencies in that report. The Court will, however, resolve any disputes.

The Defendant and defense counsel are, therefore, required to inform the Court whether the Defendant wishes to be sentenced pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines as mandatory or by this Court using the Guidelines as advisory.

Is it the request of the Defendant TODD WILSON SHORT that your sentence be determined in accordance with the United States Sentencing Guidelines as mandatory and that the Court impose the sentence that would be required by the Sentencing Guidelines?

YES: _____

NO: _____

If the Defendant has answered "Yes," he must then answer the following questions:

Do you agree to the following:

- (1) to have your sentence determined pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines;

YES: _____

NO: _____

- (2) to waive the right to have the facts that determine the offense level under the Guidelines, including facts that support any specific offense characteristics or other enhancements or adjustments, alleged in an indictment and found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt;

YES: _____

NO: _____

- (3) to have the facts that determine the offense level found by the Court at sentencing by a preponderance of the evidence which may be established by any reliable evidence, including hearsay evidence;

YES: _____

NO: _____

- (4) to waive constitutional challenges to the validity of the Sentencing Guidelines and to the Sixth Amendment right to have the Guidelines considered in an advisory capacity?

YES: _____

NO: _____

THIS the _____ day of _____, 2005.

DEFENDANT

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT

Signed: May 25, 2005



Lacy H. Thornburg
United States District Judge

